| 1 | OVERTURE 38 from Chesapeake Presbytery (to SJC) |
|----------|---|
| 2 | "Request for SJC to Assume Original Jurisdiction in CIP matters re Dan Herron" |
| 3 | [Revised title] |
| 4 5 | Whereas, the Word of God in I Corinthians 6:1-8 is clear in its injunction against Christians |
| 6 | suing one another in civil court where there exists an ecclesial court with jurisdiction, |
| 7 8 | and |
| 9 | Whereas, TE Daniel Herron has been credibly accused of impropriety by several members |
| 0 | and/or regular attenders of Hope Presbyterian Church (Bloomington, IN), where he served as pastor, and |
| 2 | Whereas, the Central Indiana Presbytery instituted process against TE Herron on the basis of |
| 4 5 | those accusations and the Presbytery's finding of a "strong presumption of guilt," and |
| 6 | Whereas, after the Central Indiana Presbytery found a "strong presumption of guilt" and had |
| 7 | instituted process, TE Herron filed suit in the Monroe County (Indiana) Circuit Court against certain of his accusers, claiming that their accusations caused him harm, and |
| 9 | Whereas, TE Herron specifically referenced the likelihood that his accusers' statements have |
| 21 | been and will be "used in Ecclesial Committee Hearings of the Central Indiana |
| 2 | Presbytery and/or the Presbyterian Church in America," as part of the substance of the |
| 3 | damages caused, and |
| .4 .5 | Whereas, this constitutes clear evidence of TE Herron's intention to employ the civil |
| 26 | magistrate to prevent his accusers' testimony against him, and thus preclude or |
| 7 | undermine the proceedings of the ecclesial court, and |
| .8 .9 | Whereas, the Central Indiana Presbytery ruled TE Herron contumacious for his refusal to |
| 0 | withdraw the civil suit, suspending him from office and the sacraments, and |
| 2 | Whereas, this action of the Central Indiana Presbytery demonstrates that it recognized the |
| 3 4 | seriousness of TE Herron's sin in filing suit against his accusers in the first place, and |
| 5 | Whereas, the Central Indiana Presbytery later removed the ruling of contumacy despite |
| 6 | TE Herron's lack of repentance, after he removed certain documents from the suit's |
| 7 | evidence chain but continued to refuse to withdraw the suit, and |
| 8 | Whereas, this later action of the Central Indiana Presbytery, removing the finding of |
| 0 | contumacy without TE Herron repenting of the sin of filing suit, not only demonstrates |
| 1 | a refusal to act against a sin previously recognized, but could also be construed as a |
| 2 | choice to participate in it with TE Herron, and |
| 3 4 | Whereas, the Central Indiana Presbytery, by removing the finding of contumacy absent |
| 5 | repentance, has chosen to allow the suit to continue in flagrant violation of |
| 6 | I Corinthians 6, refusing to act to discipline TE Herron's sin, bringing disrepute on the |
| 7 | name of Christ and undermining both their own authority and the authority of all courts |
| 8 | of the PCA generally with respect to the civil magistrate, and |

| 1 | Whereas, public letters written by concerned members of the Central Indiana Presbytery |
|----|--|
| 2 | indicate deep division and weariness within the presbytery, considerable concern for |
| 3 | the harm that this division has caused the accusers, as well as a resultant lack of belief |
| 4 | in the ability of the CIP to conduct an impartial trial, and |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Whereas, BCO 34-1 provides mechanism for the General Assembly to assume origina |
| 7 | jurisdiction when "the Presbytery refuses to act in doctrinal cases or cases of public |
| 8 | scandal," and |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Whereas, allegations concerning the alleged sinful conduct of TE Daniel Herron and Centra |
| 11 | Indiana Presbytery's handling of the case have been widely reported in public media |
| 12 | resulting in public scandal involving not only the accused, but also the CIP and the |
| 13 | Presbyterian Church in America, |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Therefore, be it resolved that the Chesapeake Presbytery petitions the 49th General Assembly |
| 16 | to assume original jurisdiction of the case against TE Daniel Herron immediately, so |
| 17 | as to investigate the accusations thoroughly and to try the matter impartially, for the |
| 18 | express purpose of defending the honor of Christ, clearing the public scandal, restoring |
| 19 | the peace and purity of Christ's Church, and providing the care of the true Shephero |
| 20 | to TE Daniel Herron and to his accusers. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Adopted by Chesapeake Presbytery at its 91st stated meeting, May 10, 2022 |
| 23 | Attested by /s/ RE Timothy M. Persons, stated clerk |
| | |