1 2	OVERTURE 20 from the Session of Fountain Square Presbyterian Church (to CCB, OC) "Proposed Systematic Changes to <i>BCO</i> 31, 32, and 35"
3	1 Toposed Systematic Changes to BCO 31, 32, and 33
4	[Note: This overture was passed by the Session of Fountain Square Presbyterian Church on
5	February 1, 2024, submitted to Central Indiana Presbytery at its stated meeting on
6	February 9, 2024, and rejected by Central Indiana Presbytery at that meeting. (The
7	relevant extract of the Presbytery minutes has been provided to the Stated Clerk of the
8	PCA according to RAO 11-10.)]
9	
10	DE IT DESOLVED that the Deal of Chamber Order (DCO) Chambers 21, 22, and 25 ha
11	BE IT RESOLVED that the <i>Book of Church Order (BCO)</i> Chapters 31, 32, and 35 be
12	amended as follows, and that these proposed amendments be referred to the Study Committee proposed in Overture 4 to the 51 st General Assembly of the Presbyterian
13	Church in America: (deletions are denoted throughout by strikethroughs, additions
14 15	are underlined).
16	are <u>underfined</u>).
17	CHAPTER 31
18	
19	Investigations and tThe Parties in Cases of Process
20	intestinguitons and values in cases of 1 rocess
21	31-1. It is incumbent on every member of a court of Jesus Christ engaged in church
22	discipline (BCO 27) to bear in mind the inspired injunction:
23	
24	"Brethren, if a man is overtaken in any trespass, you who are spiritual restore
25	such a one in the spirit of gentleness, considering yourself lest you also be
26	tempted" (Galatians 6:1). [Editorial note: current BCO 32-1]
27	
28	31-12. Original jurisdiction (the right first or initially to hear and determine) in relation
29	to ministers of the Gospel shall be in the Presbytery of which the minister is a member,
30	except in cases as provided in BCO 34-1. Such original jurisdiction in relation to
31	church members shall be in the Session of the church of which he/she is a member,
32	except in cases as provided in <i>BCO</i> 33-1. Any report received by an Officer (<i>BCO</i> 7-
33	2) regarding an alleged offense (BCO 29) shall be forwarded without delay to Clerk
34	of the court of original jurisdiction. The Clerk of the court shall, within seven (7)
35	calendar days of receipt, notify the accused person (and any associated entity, e.g.,
36	RUF, MNA, etc.) that a report has been filed against him."
37	21.2 The original and only nortical and appropriate in a case of any case one the convey and the convey
38	31-3. The original and only parties in a case of process are the accuser and the accused.
39	The accuser is always the Presbyterian Church in America, whose honor and purity
40	are to be maintained. The prosecutor, whether voluntary or appointed, is always the representative of the Church, and as such has all its rights in the case. In appellate
41 42	courts the parties are known as appellant and appellee. [Editorial note: this paragraph
42	is moved to proposed 31-8] Great caution ought to be exercised in receiving
43	accusations from any person who is known to indulge a malignant spirit towards the
45	accused; who is not of good character; who is himself under censure or process; who
TJ	accused, who is not of good character, who is inflicent under consult of process, who

is deeply interested in any respect in the conviction of the accused; or who is known 1 to be litigious, rash or highly imprudent. [Editorial note: moved from current 31-8] 2 3 4 31-24. It is the duty of all church Sessions and Presbyteries to exercise care over those subject to their authority. They shall with due diligence and great discretion demand 5 from such persons satisfactory explanations concerning reports affecting their 6 Christian character, and . This duty is more imperative when those who deem 7 themselves aggrieved by injurious reports shall may ask for an investigation. Reports 8 regarding an alleged personal offense (BCO 29-3) in which there is an alleged victim 9 shall ordinarily be initiated by the court no more than thirty (30) days from receipt by 10 the Clerk of the court and shall be completed without undue delay. 11 If such investigation, however originating, should result in raising a strong 12 presumption of the guilt of the party involved, the court shall institute process, and 13 shall appoint a prosecutor to prepare the indictment and to conduct the case. This 14 prosecutor shall be a member of the court, except that in a case before the Session, he 15 may be any communing member of the same congregation with the accused. [Editorial 16 note: move to 31-7] 17 18 31-5. The court shall ensure that those investigating meet a basic standard of conduct 19 for impartiality, and the court may hire a third party to aid in investigation. When the 20 allegations involve personal offenses (BCO 29-3) against women, the court shall 21 ordinarily ensure that the investigative body includes female advisory members. 22 23 A member shall recuse himself from any investigation in which the member's 24 impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following 25 26 circumstances: 27 i. The member has personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's 28 29 representative; 30 ii. The member has personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding, or has investigated the facts of a matter independently; 31 32 iii. The member was a witness concerning the matter. [Editorial note: these paragraphs are a new insertion] 33 34 **31-6**: Upon completion of an investigation ([editorial: proposed] *BCO* 31-4), a report 35 shall be prepared by the court and considered by it outside the presence of any persons 36 directly involved, and the approved report shall be transmitted to all such persons at 37 the same time. Neither the court at large, nor the accused shall have access to evidence 38 collected (testimony recordings, documents, etc.) apart from what is contained within 39 the report, unless it is brought forth by the prosecutor at trial. [Editorial note: this 40 paragraph is a new insertion] 41 42 43

44

45

paragraph is a new insertion]

31-7. If such investigation, however originating, should result in raising a strong presumption of the guilt of the party involved, the court shall institute process ([editorial: proposed] BCO 32-2) and conduct the case. This prosecutor shall be a

1	member of the court, except that in a case before the Session, he may be any
2	communing member of the same congregation with the accused.
3	
4	31-38. The original and only parties in a case of process are the accuser and the
5	accused. In every case the Church is the injured and accusing party, against the
6	accused. Thus, the accuser is always the Presbyterian Church in America, whose honor
7	and purity are to be maintained. The prosecutor, whether voluntary or appointed, is
8	'always the representative of the Church, and as such has all its rights in the case. In
9	appellate courts the parties are known as appellant and appellee. The accused may
10	obtain representation ([editorial: proposed] BCO 32-7) when formal process begins
11	([editorial: proposed] <u>BCO 32-1).</u>
12	
13	31-4. Every indictment shall begin: "In the name of the Presbyterian Church in
14	America," and shall conclude, "against the peace, unity and purity of the Church,
15	and the honor and majesty of the Lord Jesus Christ, as the King and Head
16	thereof." In every case the Church is the injured and accusing party, against the
17	accused. [Editorial: moved to proposed BCO 32-2]
18	21 50 An injured nexts shall not become a procession of necessary defended without
19	31-59. An injured party shall not become a prosecutor of personal offenses without having tried the many of reconciliation and of realisining the offender required by
20 21	having tried the means of reconciliation and of reclaiming the offender, required by Christ.
22	Christ.
23	"Moreover, if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault
24	between thee and him alone: if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy brother
25	but if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the
26	mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established" (Matthew
27	18:15-16).
28	
29	A church court, however, may judicially investigate personal offenses as if general
30	when the interest of religion seem to demand it. So, also, those to whom private
31	offenses are known cannot become prosecutors without having previously endeavored
32	to remove the scandal by private means.
33	
34	31-610. When the offense is general, the case may be conducted either by any person
35	appearing as prosecutor or by a prosecutor appointed by the court.
36	
37	31-7 <u>11</u> . When the prosecution is instituted by the court, the previous steps required by
38	our Lord in the case of personal offenses are not necessary. There are many cases,
39	however, in which it will promote the interests of religion to send a committee to
40	converse in a private manner with the offender, and endeavor to bring him to a sense
41	of his guilt, before instituting actual process.
42	21.9 Creek continue analytic has arrangined in manifestation for
43	31-8. Great caution ought to be exercised in receiving accusations from any person
44	who is known to indulge a malignant spirit towards the accused; who is not of good
45	character; who is himself under censure or process; who is deeply interested in any

 respect in the conviction of the accused; or who is known to be litigious, rash or highly imprudent.

31-912. Every voluntary prosecutor shall be previously warned, that if he fail to show probable cause of the charges, he may himself be censured as a slanderer of the brethren.

- 31-103. When a member of a church court is under process, all his official functions may be suspended at the court's discretion; but this shall never be done in the way of censure. When a report is made (*BCO* 31-1) alleging a serious personal offense or public scandal by a member of the court, the court shall ordinarily suspend the accused from some or all of his official functions, which may include the right to attend and vote in unrelated matters of the court, for the duration of the resulting investigation, but this shall never be done in the way of censure. The court may by separate action continue such suspension if the investigation results in a strong presumption of guilt (*BCO* 31-2). The court shall in no way prevent the accused from attending meetings of the court regarding his case, nor restrict him from access to the minutes of the same distributed to other members of the court.
- 31-114. In the discussion of all questions arising in his own the case, the accused parties shall exercise the rights of defendant the parties only, not of judge. In light of the duty of all church Sessions and Presbyteries to exercise care for their constituents ([editorial: proposed] BCO 31-24), it is wise for the court to appoint men, and women when appropriate, to assist in providing care for both parties. Any man so appointed shall not have the right to vote in any matters related to the case.
- 31-15. In all judicial matters, the court shall ordinarily operate in Closed Session. A Closed Session shall be understood as a meeting or portion of a meeting wherein only commissioners, and others specifically invited by the court, are present. The proceedings shall not be secret, but rather discussion of such matters outside of the meeting shall be at the discretion of each commissioner, and the minutes of such a closed session may be read and approved in open session. However, no person present at a closed session shall later identify in any manner the views, speeches or votes of a member during the closed session, apart from that member's written permission.

CHAPTER 32

General Provisions Applicable to all Cases of Process

32-1. It is incumbent on every member of a court of Jesus Christ engaged in a trial of offenders, to bear in mind the inspired injunction:

"Brethren, if a man is overtaken in any trespass, you who are spiritual restore such a one in the spirit of gentleness, considering yourself lest you also be tempted" (Galatians 6:1). [Editorial: moved to proposed *BCO* 31-1]

1 32-2. Process against an offender shall not be commenced unless some person or 2 persons undertake to make out the charge; or unless the court finds it necessary, for the honor of religion, itself to take the steps provided for in BCO 31-24 through 31-6. 3 Process begins when the court appoints a prosecutor, which should ordinarily be done 4 immediately after finding a strong presumption of guilt by investigation, or after 5 receiving charges directly." 6 7 32-2. An indictment is the written account of charges and specifications formally 8 prepared by the prosecutor. Every indictment shall begin: "In the name of the 9 Presbyterian Church in America," and shall conclude, "against the peace, unity 10 and purity of the Church, and the honor and majesty of the Lord Jesus Christ, 11 as the King and Head thereof." In drawing the indictment, the times, places and 12 circumstances should, if possible, be particularly stated, that the accused may have an 13 opportunity to make his defense (cf. BCO 32-11). [Editorial: the first sentence is new; 14 the rest is from existing 31-4, 32-5] 15 16 17 32-3. A citation is a notice for a party or a witness to appear before the court, and shall be issued and signed by the moderator or clerk by order and in the name of the court. 18 It is appropriate that with each citation the moderator or clerk call the attention of the 19 20 parties to the Rules of Discipline (BCO 27 through 46) and assist the parties to obtain access to them. When a charge is laid before the Session or Presbytery, it shall be 21 reduced to writing, and nothing shall be done at the first meeting of the court, unless 22 23 by consent of parties, except: 1. to appoint a prosecutor, 24 2. to order the indictment drawn and a copy, along with names of witnesses then 25 26 known to support it, served on the accused, and 3. to cite the accused to appear and be heard at another meeting which shall not 27 be sooner than ten days after such citation. 28 At the second meeting of the court the charges shall be read to the accused, if 29 present, and he shall be called upon to say whether he be guilty or not. 30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45 if he plead and take issue, the trial shall be scheduled and all parties and their witnesses cited to appear. The trial shall not be sooner than fourteen (14) days after such citation.

If the accused confesses, the court may deal with him according to its discretion;

Accused parties may plead in writing when they cannot be personally present. Parties necessarily absent should have counsel assigned to them. [Editorial: the remainder of this is moved to later in the chapter]

32-4. The citation shall be issued and signed by the moderator or clerk by order and in the name of the court. He shall also issue citations to such witnesses as either party shall nominate to appear on his behalf. Indictments and citations shall be delivered in person or in another manner providing verification of the date of receipt; electronic delivery alone is sufficient when receipt is acknowledged by the recipient. Compliance with these requirements shall be deemed to have been fulfilled if a party cannot be located after diligent inquiry or if a party refuses to accept delivery.

32-5. In drawing the indictment, the times, places and circumstances should, if possible, be particularly stated, that the accused may have an opportunity to make his defense. Any person refusing to obey a citation shall be cited a second time. This second citation shall be accompanied with a notice that if he does not appear at the time appointed (unless providentially hindered, which fact he must make known to the court) he shall be dealt with for his contumacy ([Editorial: proposed] *BCO* 32-10).

32-6. a. When an accused person shall refuse to obey a citation, he shall be cited a second time. This second citation shall be accompanied with a notice that if he does not appear at the time appointed (unless providentially hindered, which fact he must make known to the court) he shall be dealt with for his contumacy (cf. BCO 33-2; 34-4).

b. When an accused person shall appear and refuse to plead, or otherwise refuse to cooperate with lawful proceedings, he shall be dealt with for his contumacy (cf. *BCO* 33-2; 34-4). On all questions arising in the progress of a case, the discussion shall first be between the parties; and when they have been heard, they may be required to withdraw from the court until the members deliberate upon and decide the point. [Editorial: added language taken from current *BCO* 32-14]

- 32-7. The time which must elapse between the serving of the first citation on the accused person, and the meeting of the court at which he is to appear, shall be at least ten (10) days. The time allotted for his appearance on the subsequent citation shall be left to the discretion of the court, provided that it be quite sufficient for a seasonable and convenient compliance with the citation. In cases of process before any church court, no professional representative shall be permitted to appear on behalf of any party, nor assist with oral or written arguments, nor engage in communications regarding the case. A person shall be considered a professional representative when: the representative is functioning in an attorney/client relationship, or the representative is remunerated specifically for his representation.
 - a. In accordance with the preceding provisions, the accused may obtain representation, and parties necessarily absent shall have representation assigned to them. Representatives for either party shall be communing members in good standing and may continue until the conclusion of the case in a higher court. Representatives shall not be allowed to sit in judgment in the case or vote in any related judicial matters decided by the court. Representatives for either party may appoint assistants according to these same provisions.
 - b. In cases originating before a Session, a party may be represented by any communing member of the same particular church. If the Session judges that a party will not be well-served by representation from that body, the Session may request a representative from its Presbytery. In cases originating before any other court, a party may be represented by any member of that court.

[Editorial: revision of current BCO 32-19, with a portion taken from current BCO 32-3]

- 32-8. When the offense with which an accused person stands charged took place at a distance, and it is inconvenient for the witnesses to appear before the court having jurisdiction, that court may either (a) appoint a commission of its body, or (b) request the coordinate court contiguous to the place where the facts occurred to take the testimony for it, or (c) have the testimony taken by videoconference, which shall employ technical means that ensure that all persons participating in the meeting can see and hear each other at the same time, and which allows for live cross-examination by both parties. The accused shall always have reasonable notice of the time and place of the meeting of this commission or coordinate court. If deemed expedient there may be a committee appointed, which shall be called the Judicial Committee, and whose duty it shall be to digest and arrange all the papers, and to prescribe, under the direction of the court, the whole order of the proceedings (cf. [editorial: proposed] BCO 32-11). The members of this committee shall be entitled, notwithstanding their performance of this duty, to sit and vote in the case as members of the court. [Editorial: added language taken from current BCO 32-11]

19

32-9. When an offense, alleged to have been committed at a distance, is not likely otherwise to become known to the court having jurisdiction, it shall be the duty of the court within whose bounds the facts occurred, after satisfying itself that there is probable ground for accusation, to send notice to the court having jurisdiction, which shall at once proceed against the accused; or the whole case may be remitted for trial to the coordinate court within whose bounds the offense is alleged to have been committed. Minutes of the trial shall be kept by the clerk, which shall exhibit the charges, the answer, record of the testimony, as defined by BCO 35-9, and all such acts, orders, and decisions of the court relating to the case, as either party may desire, and also the judgment. The clerk shall without delay assemble the Record of the Case which shall consist of the charges, the answer, the citations and returns thereto, and the minutes herein required to be kept.

32

a. When a case is removed by appeal or complaint, the lower court shall transmit "the Record" thus prepared to the higher court with the addition of the notice of appeal or complaint, and the reasons therefor, if any shall have been filed.

36

b. Nothing which is not contained in this "Record" shall be taken into consideration by the higher court. On the final decision of a case in a higher court, the judgment shall be sent down to the court in which the case originated.

40 41

The parties shall be allowed copies of the Record of the Case at their own expense if they demand them. [Editorial: added language taken from current BCO 32-18 with minor edits]

43 44

- **32-10**. Before proceeding to trial, courts ought to ascertain that their citations have been duly served. Contumacy is noncompliance with a lawful directive of the court (failure to appear for a citation, refusal to testify or provide evidence, etc.). Any officer or private member of the church found by the court to be noncompliant may be censured for contumacy (*BCO* 33-2; 34-4). [Editorial: the first sentence is an adaptation from current *BCO* 32-6; the remainder of the added language taken from current *BCO* 35-14 with edits]
- 32-11. In every process, if deemed expedient there may be a committee appointed, which shall be called the Judicial Committee, and whose duty it shall be to digest and arrange all the papers, and to prescribe, under the direction of the court, the whole order of the proceedings. The members of this committee shall be entitled, notwithstanding their performance of this duty, to sit and vote in the case as members of the court. Process occurs throughout a series of meetings of the court leading to and concluding with trial. Nothing shall be done at the first meeting of the court except as specified below, unless by consent of the parties:
 - a. At the first meeting of the court, the court shall (1) appoint a prosecutor; (2) order the indictment drawn, and a copy, along with names of witnesses then known to support it, served on the accused; and (3) cite the accused to appear and be heard at another meeting which shall not be sooner than ten days after such citation.
 - b. At the second meeting of the court, the court shall (1) read at least the charges to the accused, if present, and (2) he shall be called upon to say whether he be guilty or not.
 - i. If the accused confesses, the court may deal with him according to its discretion.
 - ii. If the accused does not confess, the trial shall be scheduled and all parties and their witnesses cited to appear. The trial shall not be sooner than fourteen (14) days after such citation. Accused parties may plead in writing when they cannot be personally present. [Editorial: added language expanded and adapted from current *BCO* 32-3]

The accused or a member of the court may object to the consideration of a charge, for example, if he thinks the passage of time since the alleged offense makes fair adjudication unachievable. The court should consider factors such as the gravity of the alleged offense as well as what degradations of evidence and memory may have occurred in the intervening period. [Editorial: added language taken from current *BCO* 32-20]

32-12. Before proceeding to trial, the court shall delineate any trial rules to be observed by both parties not contained herein (e.g., points of order or objections which will be observed, manner to present evidence, etc.) at least fourteen (14) days prior to trial

commencement, to which both parties shall assent. Courts ought also to ascertain that their citations have been duly served. [Editorial: added language expanded and taken from current *BCO* 32-10]

When the trial is about to begin, it shall be the duty of the moderator solemnly to announce from the chair that the court is about to pass to the consideration of the case, and to enjoin on the members to recollect and regard their high character as judges of a court of Jesus Christ, and the solemn duty in which they are about to engage. [Editorial: this paragraph is moved to proposed *BCO* 32-15]

32-13. In order that the trial may be fair and impartial, the witnesses shall be examined in the presence of the accused (as permitted by *BCO* 32-814), or at least after he shall have received due citation to attend. Witnesses may be cross-examined by both parties, and any questions asked must be pertinent to the issue (*BCO* 35-7). Every member sitting as a judge shall maintain a high standard of integrity, independence, and competence:

i. He shall not render judgment on any matter pending before his court on anything other than the Constitution of the Church and the facts presented by the Record of the Case and other materials properly before him, and he shall not vote without having read the entirety of the Record of the Case and all other documents properly filed by the parties, and has heard the arguments of the parties and the discussion as to the merits of the matters in controversy.

ii. He shall not express his opinion of the merits of any case pending trial to either party, or to any person not a member of the court, or absent himself from any sitting without the permission of the court, or satisfactory reasons rendered.

iii. He shall not make any public or private statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome of a matter which has been filed under the Rules of Discipline (BCO 27 through 46) with a court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, he may make statements regarding the principles of the form of government and discipline, the requirements of the BCO, the Rules of Assembly Operation, and Robert's Rules. If such statements seem to the member especially liable to be construed to address a matter before the court, a member making such public or private statements shall expressly qualify the statements indicating that they are limited to the subject matters permitted by this subsection and are not made with a view to the matter.

iv. He shall be objective and open-minded with respect to all issues and all parties.

Pending the trial of a case, any member of the court who cannot meet these requirements shall be thereby disqualified from taking part in subsequent proceedings. A member shall recuse himself from sitting as a judge when his impartiality might reasonably be questioned ([Editorial: proposed]*BCO* 31-5). [Editorial: language adapted from the *Operating Manual of the Standing Judicial Commission* §2]

1
2

8 9

10

11 12 13

14 15 16

18 19 20

17

21 22 23

24

25 26 27

29 30 31

32

28

33 34 35

37 38 39

36

40 41 42

43 44

A member of the court shall not be disqualified from sitting as a judge by having given testimony in the case, unless a party makes an objection, and the court subsequently determines that such member should be disqualified. The elder against whom the objection has been made shall retain the right to vote in the determination of qualification. Either party may, for cause, challenge the right of any member to sit in the trial of the case, which question shall be decided by the other members of the court. [Editorial: language taken from current *BCO* 35-13 and 32-16]

- 32-14. On all questions arising in the progress of a trial, the discussion shall first be between the parties; and when they have been heard, they may be required to withdraw from the court until the members deliberate upon and decide the point. [Editorial: moved to BCO 32-6] An offense may take place outside the court of original jurisdiction.
 - a. When it is inconvenient for the witnesses to appear before the court having jurisdiction, that court may either:
 - i. appoint a commission of its body, or
 - request the coordinate court contiguous to the place where the facts ii. occurred to take the testimony for it, or
 - have the testimony taken by videoconference, which shall employ iii. technical means that ensure that all persons participating in the meeting can see and hear each other at the same time, and which allows for live cross-examination by both parties.
 - The accused shall always have reasonable notice of the time and place of the meeting of this commission or coordinate court. [Editorial: taken and adapted from current *BCO* 32-8]
 - b. When it is not likely otherwise to become known to the court having jurisdiction, it shall be the duty of the court within whose bounds the facts occurred, after satisfying itself that there is probable ground for accusation, to send notice to the court having jurisdiction, which shall at once proceed against the accused; or the whole case may be remitted for trial to the coordinate court within whose bounds the offense is alleged to have been committed. [Editorial: taken and adapted from current BCO 32-9]
- **32-15**. When a court of first resort proceeds to the trial of a case, the following order shall be observed:
 - 1. The moderator shall charge the court that it is about to pass to the consideration of the case, and to enjoin on the members to recollect and regard their high character as judges of a court of Jesus Christ, and the solemn duty in which

1	they are about to engage. [Editorial: additional language taken from current
2	BCO 32-12]
3	2. The indictment shall be read, and the answer of the accused heard; the reading
4	of the indictment may be waived by consent of the parties.
5	3. The witnesses for the prosecutor and then those for the accused shall be
6	examined.
7	4. The parties shall be heard: first, the prosecutor, and then the accused, and the
8	prosecutor shall close.
9	5. The roll shall be called, and the members may express their opinion in the case.
10	6. The vote shall be taken, the verdict announced, and judgment entered on the
11	records.
12	
13	32-16. Either party may, for cause, challenge the right of any member to sit in the trial
14	of the case, which question shall be decided by the other members of the court.
15	[Editorial: moved to proposed <i>BCO</i> 32-13]
16	22 17 D 1 d d 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L
17	32-17. Pending the trial of a case, any member of the court who shall express his
18	opinion of its merits to either party, or to any person not a member of the court; or who
19	shall absent himself from any sitting without the permission of the court, or
20	satisfactory reasons rendered, shall be thereby disqualified from taking part in the
21	subsequent proceedings[Editorial: moved to proposed BCO 32-13]
22 23	32-18. Minutes of the trial shall be kept by the clerk, which shall exhibit the charges,
23 24	the answer, record of the testimony, as defined by BCO 35-7, and all such acts, orders,
25	and decisions of the court relating to the case, as either party may desire, and also the
26	ind decisions of the court relating to the case, as either party may desire, and also the judgment.
27	The clerk shall without delay assemble the Record of the Case which shall consist of
28	the charges, the answer, the citations and returns thereto, and the minutes herein
29	required to be kept.
30	The parties shall be allowed copies of the Record of the Case at their own expense if
31	they demand them.
32	When a case is removed by appeal or complaint, the lower court shall transmit "the
33	Record" thus prepared to the higher court with the addition of the notice of appeal or
34	complaint, and the reasons therefor, if any shall have been filed.
35	Nothing which is not contained in this "Record" shall be taken into consideration by
36	the higher court. On the final decision of a case in a higher court, the judgment shall
37	be sent down to the court in which the case originated. [Editorial: moved to proposed
38	BCO 32-9]
39	
40	32-19: No professional counsel shall be permitted as such to appear and plead in cases
41	of process in any court; but an accused person may, if he desires it, be represented
42	before the Session by any communing member of the same particular church, or before
43	any other court, by any member of that court. A member of the court so employed
44	shall not be allowed to sit in judgment in the case. [Editorial: moved to proposed BCO
45	32-71

32-20. The accused or a member of the court may object to the consideration of a charge, for example, if he thinks the passage of time since the alleged offense makes fair adjudication unachievable. The court should consider factors such as the gravity of the alleged offense as well as what degradations of evidence and memory may have occurred in the intervening period. [Editorial: moved to proposed BCO 32-11]

CHAPTER 35

Evidence

35-1...

35-4. The testimony of more than one witness shall be necessary in order to establish any charge; yet if, in addition to the testimony of one witness, corroborative evidence be produced, or if several credible witnesses bear testimony to different similar acts, belonging to the same general charge, the offense may be considered to be proved.

35-5. It belongs to the court to judge the degree of credibility to be attached to all evidence. All evidence to be presented at trial, along with witness names, shall be exchanged by the parties at least fourteen (14) days before the trial is scheduled to commence.

35-6. No witness afterwards to be examined, unless a member of the court, shall be present during the examination of another witness on the same case, if either party object.

35-7. Witnesses shall be examined first by the party introducing them; then crossexamined by the opposite party; after which any member of the court, or either party, may put additional interrogatories. No question shall be put or answered except by permission of the moderator, subject to an appeal to the court. [Editorial note: new paragraph]

The court shall not permit questions frivolous or irrelevant to the charge at issue, including assertions or questions regarding the character of the witness not in question, and any finding by the court that such an assertion or question was made shall be stricken from the Record of the Case.

35-8...

40

35-10. The records of a court or any part of them, whether original or transcribed, if regularly authenticated by the moderator and clerk, or by either of them, shall be deemed good and sufficient evidence in every other court. In like manner, testimony taken by one court (including testimony written or recorded during investigation) and regularly authenticated shall be received by every other court.

4 5

so that the final text would read:

35-11. In like manner, testimony taken by one court and regularly certified shall be received by every other court as no less valid than if it had been taken by itself. Evidence relevant to the issue at charge shall be automatically admitted by the court when its authenticity is not in dispute or can be easily ascertained by the court.

35-12. When it is not convenient for a court to have the whole or perhaps any part of the testimony in any particular case taken in its presence, a commission shall be appointed, or coordinate court requested, to take the testimony in question, which shall be considered as if taken in the presence of the court.

Due notice of the commission or coordinate court or videoconference, and of the time and place of its meeting, shall be given to the opposite party, that he may have an opportunity of attending. If the accused shall desire on his part to take testimony at a distance for his own exculpation, he shall give notice to the court of the time and place at which it shall be taken, in order that a commission or coordinate court, as in the former case, may be appointed for the purpose. Testimony may be taken on written interrogatories by filing the same with the clerk of the court having jurisdiction of the case, and giving two weeks' notice thereof to the adverse party, during which time he may file cross-interrogatories, if he desire it. Testimony shall then be taken by the commission or coordinate court in answer to the direct and cross-interrogatories, if such are filed, and no notice need be given of the time and place of taking the testimony.

35-13. A member of the court shall not be disqualified from sitting as a judge by having given testimony in the case, unless a party makes an objection, and the court subsequently determines that such member should be disqualified. The elder against whom the objection has been made shall retain the right to vote in the determination of qualification. [editorial: moved to proposed *BCO* 32-13] A member of the court who is the prosecutor in the case (*BCO* 31-2) is disqualified from sitting as a judge. [editorial: moved to proposed *BCO* 32-7]

35-14. An officer or private member of the church refusing to testify may be censured for contumacy. [Editorial: moved to proposed *BCO* 32-10]

35-15. If after trial before any court new testimony be discovered, which the accused believes important, it shall be his right to ask a new trial and it shall be within the power of the court to grant his request.

35-164. If, in the prosecution of an appeal, new evidence be offered which, in the judgment of the appellate court, has an important bearing on the case, it shall be competent for that court to refer the case to the lower court for a new trial; or, with the consent of parties, to admit the evidence and proceed with the case.

CHAPTER 31

4

Investigations and the Parties in Cases of Process

5 6

31-1. It is incumbent on every member of a court of Jesus Christ engaged in church discipline (BCO 27) to bear in mind the inspired injunction:

7 8

9

"Brethren, if a man is overtaken in any trespass, you who are spiritual restore such a one in the spirit of gentleness, considering yourself lest you also be tempted" (Galatians 6:1).

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

31-2. Original jurisdiction (the right first or initially to hear and determine) in relation to ministers of the Gospel shall be in the Presbytery of which the minister is a member, except in cases as provided in BCO 34-1. Such original jurisdiction in relation to church members shall be in the Session of the church of which he/she is a member, except in cases as provided in BCO 33-1. Any report received by an Officer (BCO 7-2) regarding an alleged offense (BCO 29) shall be forwarded without delay to Clerk of the court of original jurisdiction. The Clerk of the court shall, within seven (7) calendar days of receipt, notify the accused person (and any associated entity, e.g., RUF, MNA, etc.) that a report has been filed against him."

20 21 22

23

24

25

31-3. Great caution ought to be exercised in receiving accusations from any person who is known to indulge a malignant spirit towards the accused; who is not of good character; who is himself under censure or process; who is deeply interested in any respect in the conviction of the accused; or who is known to be litigious, rash or highly imprudent."

26 27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

31-4. It is the duty of all church Sessions and Presbyteries to exercise care over those subject to their authority. They shall with due diligence and great discretion demand from such persons satisfactory explanations concerning reports affecting their Christian character, and those who deem themselves aggrieved by injurious reports may ask for an investigation." Reports regarding an alleged personal offense (BCO) 29-3) in which there is an alleged victim shall ordinarily be initiated by the court no more than thirty (30) days from receipt by the Clerk of the court and shall be completed without undue delay.

35 36 37

38

39

31-5. The court shall ensure that those investigating meet a basic standard of conduct for impartiality, and the court may hire a third party to aid in investigation. When the allegations involve personal offenses (BCO 29-3) against women, the court shall ordinarily ensure that the investigative body includes female advisory members.

40 41 42

43

A member shall disqualify himself from any investigation in which the member's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances:

- a. The member has personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's representative;
- b. The member has personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding, or has investigated the facts of a matter independently;
- c. The member was a witness concerning the matter.
- **31-6**: Upon completion of an investigation (*BCO* 31-4), a report shall be prepared by the court and considered by it outside the presence of any persons directly involved, and the approved report shall be transmitted to all such persons at the same time. Neither the court at large, nor the accused shall have access to evidence collected (testimony recordings, documents, etc.) apart from what is contained within the report, unless it is brought forth by the prosecutor at trial.
- **31-7.** If such investigation, however originating, should result in raising a strong presumption of the guilt of the party involved, the court shall institute process (*BCO* 32-2) and conduct the case. This prosecutor shall be a member of the court, except that in a case before the Session, he may be any communing member of the same congregation with the accused.
- **31-8**. The original and only parties in a case of process are the accuser and the accused. In every case the Church is the injured and accusing party, against the accused. Thus, the accuser is always the Presbyterian Church in America, whose honor and purity are to be maintained. The prosecutor, whether voluntary or appointed, is always the representative of the Church, and as such has all its rights in the case. In appellate courts the parties are known as appellant and appellee. The accused may obtain representation (*BCO* 32-7) when formal process begins (*BCO* 32-1).
- **31-9**. An injured party shall not become a prosecutor of personal offenses without having tried the means of reconciliation and of reclaiming the offender, required by Christ.
 - "Moreover, if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone: if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy brother but if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established" (Matthew 18:15-16).
- A church court, however, may judicially investigate personal offenses as if general when the interest of religion seem to demand it. So, also, those to whom private offenses are known cannot become prosecutors without having previously endeavored to remove the scandal by private means.
- **31-10**. When the offense is general, the case may be conducted either by any person appearing as prosecutor or by a prosecutor appointed by the court.

- **31-11**. When the prosecution is instituted by the court, the previous steps required by our Lord in the case of personal offenses are not necessary. There are many cases, however, in which it will promote the interests of religion to send a committee to converse in a private manner with the offender, and endeavor to bring him to a sense of his guilt, before instituting actual process.
- **31-12**. Every voluntary prosecutor shall be previously warned, that if he fail to show probable cause of the charges, he may himself be censured as a slanderer of the brethren.
- **31-13**. When a member of a church court is under process, all his official functions may be suspended at the court's discretion; but this shall never be done in the way of censure. When a report is made (*BCO* 31-1) alleging a serious personal offense or public scandal by a member of the court, the court shall ordinarily suspend the accused from some or all of his official functions, which may include the right to attend and vote in unrelated matters of the court, for the duration of the resulting investigation, but this shall never be done in the way of censure. The court may by separate action continue such suspension if the investigation results in a strong presumption of guilt (*BCO* 31-2). The court shall in no way prevent the accused from attending meetings of the court regarding his case, nor restrict him from access to the minutes of the same distributed to other members of the court.
- **31-14**. In the discussion of all questions arising in the case, the parties shall exercise the rights of the parties only, not of judge. In light of the duty of all church Sessions and Presbyteries to exercise care for their constituents (*BCO* 31-4), it is wise for the court to appoint men, and women when appropriate, to assist in providing care for both parties. Any man so appointed shall not have the right to vote in any matters related to the case.
- **31-15**. In all judicial matters, the court shall ordinarily operate in Closed Session. A Closed Session shall be understood as a meeting or portion of a meeting wherein only commissioners, and others specifically invited by the court, are present. The proceedings shall not be secret, but rather discussion of such matters outside of the meeting shall be at the discretion of each commissioner, and the minutes of such a closed session may be read and approved in open session. However, no person present at a closed session shall later identify in any manner the views, speeches or votes of a member during the closed session, apart from that member's written permission.

CHAPTER 32

General Provisions Applicable to all Cases of Process

32-1. Process against an offender shall not be commenced unless some person or persons undertake to make out the charge; or unless the court finds it necessary, for the honor of religion, itself to take the steps provided for in *BCO* 31-4 through 31-6.

Process begins when the court appoints a prosecutor, which should ordinarily be done immediately after finding a strong presumption of guilt by investigation, or after receiving charges directly."

- 32-2. An indictment is the written account of charges and specifications formally prepared by the prosecutor. Every indictment shall begin: "In the name of the Presbyterian Church in America," and shall conclude, "against the peace, unity and purity of the Church, and the honor and majesty of the Lord Jesus Christ, as the King and Head thereof." In drawing the indictment, the times, places and circumstances should, if possible, be particularly stated, that the accused may have an opportunity to make his defense (cf. BCO 32-11).
- 32-3. A citation is a notice for a party or a witness to appear before the court, and shall be issued and signed by the moderator or clerk by order and in the name of the court. It is appropriate that with each citation the moderator or clerk call the attention of the parties to the Rules of Discipline (BCO 27 through 46) and assist the parties to obtain access to them.
- 32-4. Indictments and citations shall be delivered in person or in another manner providing verification of the date of receipt; electronic delivery alone is sufficient when receipt is acknowledged by the recipient. Compliance with these requirements shall be deemed to have been fulfilled if a party cannot be located after diligent inquiry or if a party refuses to accept delivery.

24

- 32-5. Any person refusing to obey a citation shall be cited a second time. This second citation shall be accompanied with a notice that if he does not appear at the time appointed (unless providentially hindered, which fact he must make known to the court) he shall be dealt with for his contumacy (BCO 32-10).
- **32-6**. On all questions arising in the progress of a case, the discussion shall first be between the parties; and when they have been heard, they may be required to withdraw from the court until the members deliberate upon and decide the point.
- **32-7**. In cases of process before any church court, no professional representative shall be permitted to appear on behalf of any party, nor assist with oral or written arguments, nor engage in communications regarding the case. A person shall be considered a professional representative when: the representative is functioning in an attorney/client relationship, or the representative is remunerated specifically for his representation.

40

44

45

a. In accordance with the preceding provisions, the accused may obtain representation, and parties necessarily absent shall have representation assigned to them. Representatives for either party shall be communing members in good standing and may continue until the conclusion of the case in a higher court. Representatives shall not be allowed to sit in judgment in the

1		
2		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1 2		
13		
4		
15		
6		
17		
8		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
10		
11		
12		
13		

45

case or vote in any related judicial matters decided by the court. Representatives for either party may appoint assistants according to these same provisions.

- b. In cases originating before a Session, a party may be represented by any communing member of the same particular church. If the Session judges that a party will not be well-served by representation from that body, the Session may request a representative from its Presbytery. In cases originating before any other court, a party may be represented by any member of that court.
- **32-8**. If deemed expedient there may be a committee appointed, which shall be called the Judicial Committee, and whose duty it shall be to digest and arrange all the papers, and to prescribe, under the direction of the court, the whole order of the proceedings (cf. *BCO* 32-11). The members of this committee shall be entitled, notwithstanding their performance of this duty, to sit and vote in the case as members of the court.
- **32-9**. Minutes of the trial shall be kept by the clerk, which shall exhibit the charges, the answer, record of the testimony, as defined by *BCO* 35-9, and all such acts, orders, and decisions of the court relating to the case, as either party may desire, and also the judgment. The clerk shall without delay assemble the Record of the Case which shall consist of the charges, the answer, the citations and returns thereto, and the minutes herein required to be kept.
 - c. When a case is removed by appeal or complaint, the lower court shall transmit "the Record" thus prepared to the higher court with the addition of the notice of appeal or complaint, and the reasons therefor, if any shall have been filed.
 - d. Nothing which is not contained in this "Record" shall be taken into consideration by the higher court. On the final decision of a case in a higher court, the judgment shall be sent down to the court in which the case originated.

The parties shall be allowed copies of the Record of the Case at their own expense if they demand them.

- **32-10**. Contumacy is noncompliance with a lawful directive of the court (failure to appear for a citation, refusal to testify or provide evidence, etc.). Any officer or private member of the church found by the court to be noncompliant may be censured for contumacy (*BCO* 33-2; 34-4).
- **32-11**. Process occurs throughout a series of meetings of the court leading to and concluding with trial. Nothing shall be done at the first meeting of the court except as specified below, unless by consent of the parties:
 - <u>a.</u> At the first meeting of the court, the court shall (1) appoint a prosecutor; (2) order the indictment drawn, and a copy, along with names of witnesses then

known to support it, served on the accused; and (3) cite the accused to appear and be heard at another meeting which shall not be sooner than ten days after such citation.

- <u>b.</u> At the second meeting of the court, the court shall (1) read at least the charges to the accused, if present, and (2) he shall be called upon to say whether he be guilty or not.
 - <u>i.</u> If the accused confesses, the court may deal with him according to its discretion.
 - <u>ii.</u> If the accused does not confess, the trial shall be scheduled and all parties and their witnesses cited to appear. The trial shall not be sooner than fourteen (14) days after such citation. Accused parties may plead in writing when they cannot be personally present.

The accused or a member of the court may object to the consideration of a charge, for example, if he thinks the passage of time since the alleged offense makes fair adjudication unachievable. The court should consider factors such as the gravity of the alleged offense as well as what degradations of evidence and memory may have occurred in the intervening period.

- **32-12**. Before proceeding to trial, the court shall delineate any trial rules to be observed by both parties not contained herein (e.g., points of order or objections which will be observed, manner to present evidence, etc.) at least fourteen (14) days prior to trial commencement, to which both parties shall assent. Courts ought also to ascertain that their citations have been duly served.
- **32-13**. In order that the trial may be fair and impartial, witnesses shall be examined in the presence of the accused (as permitted by *BCO* 32-14), or at least after he shall have received due citation to attend. Witnesses may be cross-examined by both parties, and any questions asked must be pertinent to the issue (*BCO* 35-7). Every member sitting as a judge shall maintain a high standard of integrity, independence, and competence:
 - i. He shall not render judgment on any matter pending before his court on anything other than the Constitution of the Church and the facts presented by the Record of the Case and other materials properly before him, and he shall not vote without having read the entirety of the Record of the Case and all other documents properly filed by the parties, and has heard the arguments of the parties and the discussion as to the merits of the matters in controversy.
 - ii. He shall not express his opinion of the merits of any case pending trial to either party, or to any person not a member of the court, or absent himself from any sitting without the permission of the court, or satisfactory reasons rendered.

- iii. He shall not make any public or private statement that might reasonably be expected to affect the outcome of a matter which has been filed under the Rules of Discipline with a court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, he may make statements regarding the principles of the form of government and discipline, the requirements of the *BCO*, the *Rules of Assembly Operation*, and *Robert's Rules*. If such statements seem to the member especially liable to be construed to address a matter before the court, a member making such public or private statements shall expressly qualify the statements indicating that they are limited to the subject matters permitted by this subsection and are not made with a view to the matter.
- iv. He shall be objective and open-minded with respect to all issues and all parties.

Pending the trial of a case, any member of the court who cannot meet these requirements shall be thereby disqualified from taking part in subsequent proceedings. A member shall recuse himself from sitting as a judge when his impartiality might reasonably be questioned (*BCO* 31-5).

A member of the court shall not be disqualified from sitting as a judge by having given testimony in the case, unless a party makes an objection, and the court subsequently determines that such member should be disqualified. The elder against whom the objection has been made shall retain the right to vote in the determination of qualification. Either party may, for cause, challenge the right of any member to sit in the trial of the case, which question shall be decided by the other members of the court.

32-14. An offense may take place outside the court of original jurisdiction.

- a. When it is inconvenient for the witnesses to appear before the court having jurisdiction, that court may either:
 - iv. appoint a commission of its body, or
 - v. request the coordinate court contiguous to the place where the facts occurred to take the testimony for it, or
 - vi. have the testimony taken by videoconference, which shall employ technical means that ensure that all persons participating in the meeting can see and hear each other at the same time, and which allows for live cross-examination by both parties.

The accused shall always have reasonable notice of the time and place of the meeting of this commission or coordinate court.

b. When it is not likely otherwise to become known to the court having jurisdiction, it shall be the duty of the court within whose bounds the facts occurred, after satisfying itself that there is probable ground for accusation, to send notice to the court having jurisdiction, which shall at once proceed against

1	the accused; or the whole case may be remitted for trial to the coordinate court
2	within whose bounds the offense is alleged to have been committed.
3	32-15 . When a court of first resort proceeds to the trial of a case, the following order
4 5	shall be observed:
6	shan be observed.
7	1. The moderator shall charge the court that it is about to pass to the consideration
8	of the case, and to enjoin on the members to recollect and regard their high
9	character as judges of a court of Jesus Christ, and the solemn duty in which
10	they are about to engage.
1	2. The indictment shall be read, and the answer of the accused heard; the reading
12	of the indictment may be waived by consent of the parties.
13	3. The witnesses for the prosecutor and then those for the accused shall be
14	examined.
15	4. The parties shall be heard: first, the prosecutor, and then the accused, and the
16 17	prosecutor shall close.5. The roll shall be called, and the members may express their opinion in the case.
18	6. The vote shall be taken, the verdict announced, and judgment entered on the
19	records.
20	records.
21	CHAPTER 35
22	
23	Evidence
24	
25	35-1
26	
27	35-4 . The testimony of more than one witness shall be necessary in order to establish
28	any charge; yet if, in addition to the testimony of one witness, corroborative evidence
29	be produced, or if several credible witnesses bear testimony to different similar acts,
30	belonging to the same general charge, the offense may be considered to be proved.
31	25 5 To 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
32	35-5. It belongs to the court to judge the degree of credibility to be attached to all
33	evidence. All evidence to be presented at trial, along with witness names, shall be
34 25	exchanged by the parties at least fourteen (14) days before the trial is scheduled to
35 36	commence.
37	35-6 . No witness afterwards to be examined, unless a member of the court, shall be
38	present during the examination of another witness on the same case, if either party
39	object.
40	
11 11	35-7. Witnesses shall be examined first by the party introducing them; then cross-
12	examined by the opposite party; after which any member of the court, or either party,
13	may put additional interrogatories. No question shall be put or answered except by
14	permission of the moderator, subject to an appeal to the court. [Editorial note: new
15	paragraph]

The court shall not permit questions frivolous or irrelevant to the charge at issue, including assertions or questions regarding the character of the witness not in question, and any finding by the court that such an assertion or question was made shall be stricken from the Record of the Case.

35-8. . . .

35-10. The records of a court or any part of them, whether original or transcribed, if regularly authenticated by the moderator and clerk, or by either of them, shall be deemed good and sufficient evidence in every other court. In like manner, testimony taken by one court (including testimony written or recorded during investigation) and regularly authenticated shall be received by every other court.

35-11. Evidence relevant to the issue at charge shall be automatically admitted by the court when its authenticity is not in dispute or can be easily ascertained by the court.

35-12. When it is not convenient for a court to have the whole or perhaps any part of the testimony in any particular case taken in its presence, a commission shall be appointed, or coordinate court requested, to take the testimony in question, which shall be considered as if taken in the presence of the court.

Due notice of the commission or coordinate court or videoconference, and of the time and place of its meeting, shall be given to the opposite party, that he may have an opportunity of attending. If the accused shall desire on his part to take testimony at a distance for his own exculpation, he shall give notice to the court of the time and place at which it shall be taken, in order that a commission or coordinate court, as in the former case, may be appointed for the purpose. Testimony may be taken on written interrogatories by filing the same with the clerk of the court having jurisdiction of the case, and giving two weeks' notice thereof to the adverse party, during which time he may file cross-interrogatories, if he desire it. Testimony shall then be taken by the commission or coordinate court in answer to the direct and cross-interrogatories, if such are filed, and no notice need be given of the time and place of taking the testimony.

35-13. If after trial before any court new testimony be discovered, which the accused believes important, it shall be his right to ask a new trial and it shall be within the power of the court to grant his request.

35-14. If, in the prosecution of an appeal, new evidence be offered which, in the judgment of the appellate court, has an important bearing on the case, it shall be competent for that court to refer the case to the lower court for a new trial; or, with the consent of parties, to admit the evidence and proceed with the case.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following references be updated accordingly:

- *BCO* 38-3, update "31-2" to "31-3"
- *BCO* 42-6, update "31-10" to "31-13"
 - BCO 35-3, 38-1, 42-10, update "32-19" to "32-7"
 - *BCO* 38-3.a, update "32-3" to "32-11"
 - *BCO* 33-2, 34-4 update "32-6" to "32-10"
 - All references to these same chapters within the Appendices
 - All references to these same chapters within the *OMSJC*

3

4

5

6

RATIONALE

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

These three chapters have enjoyed no small number of attempted and successful overtures and throughout their history. Even so, still much confusion abounds for many lower courts, resulting and an overabundance of work for the higher courts upon review and control, complaint and appeal. We believe that much of this can be mitigated in the future by adding structure that will bring clarity to the process, while offering new emendations—as the Assembly did recently to *BCO* 35— which will prove very helpful to future investigations and cases of process.

17 18 19

20

21

Should all these changes be adopted as proposed, the result would be the retaining of most of the current text (with some additions throughout), relocating items together throughout these three chapters, several entirely new paragraphs, totaling 1102 additional words with the *Rules of Discipline*, as allocated below:

222324

25

26

27

28

- 400 words regarding impartiality (123 in 31-5; 277 in 32-13);
- 103 words regarding the reporting of allegations;
- 80 words regarding reporting of results of an investigation;
- 126 words regarding non-censure suspension;
- 110 words regarding the adoption of closed session; and
- 283 words regarding various sundry changes throughout the remainder.

293031

It would further demonstrate a new logical flow for the lower courts to better follow in handling any judicial cases arising before them:

323334

Chapter 31

35 36

38

39

40

41

- 31-1 Solemn warning when beginning judicial procedures (Existing 32-1)
- 31-2 Original jurisdiction and notification of reports (Existing 31-1)
 - 31-3 Caution in receiving accusations (Existing 31-8)
 - 31-4 Initiation and timeliness of investigations (Existing 31-2, ¶1)
 - 31-5 Impartiality of the investigative body
 - 31-6 Investigative Committee Findings and Documents
- 31-7 Actions of the court upon finding a strong presumption of guilt (Existing 31-2, ¶2)
 - 31-8 Parties in a case of process (Existing 31-3)

- 31-9 Requirements for prosecution of personal offenses (Existing 31-5)
- 31-10 Requirements for prosecution of general offenses (Existing 31-6)
- 31-11 Requirements for prosecution when instituted by the court (Existing 31-7)
 - 31-12 Voluntary prosecutors (Existing 31-9)
 - 31-13 Administrative suspension (Existing 31-10)
 - 31-14 Care for the parties and voting rights (Existing 31-11 with expansion)
 - 31-15 Closed Session for Judicial process

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

4

5

6

The result is a chapter that follows the flow of actual investigations through the initiation of process, with some changes to existing paragraphs for better fit: for example, current *BCO* 31-8 is moved to 31-2, which is where considering of accusations would normally begin, upon their reception. The bulk of the additions aim to provide some additional clarity to the investigative process, which currently occupies the minority of the text in this chapter. These changes will also result in less complaints to the higher courts while simultaneously providing a modest increase in direction for investigations—without being too prescriptive—maintaining the current *BCO* posture of discretion for decision-making by a court of original jurisdiction, being closest to whatever investigation and process is occurring.

18 19

Chapter 32

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29

30

31

32

333435

- 32-1 Initiation of formal process (Current 32-2)
- 32-2 Indictments (Current 31-4, 32-5, reference to 32-20)
- 32-3 Citations (Current 32-3, 32-4 in part)
- 32-4 Delivery of citations and indictments (Current 32-4 in part)
- 32-5 Refusal to obey citations (adaptation of current 32-6, 35-12; reference to 32-10)
- 32-6 Progress of a case (Current 32-14)
- 32-7 Prohibition against professional counsel (Current 32-19)
- 32-8 Judicial Committees (Current 32-11)
 - 32-9 Minutes (Current 32-18)
 - 32-10 Contumacy (adaptation of current 32-6 in part)
 - 32-11 Meetings of the court (adapted from current 32-3, 32-20)
 - 32-12 Pretrial matters (Current 32-10, with expansion)
 - 32-13 Trial impartiality (expansion of current 32-13, 32-16, 35-13, based on *OMSJC* 2)
 - 32-14 Offenses taking place at a distance (adapted from current 32-8, 32-9)
 - 32-15 The trial (adapted from current 32-12, 32-15)

363738

39

40

41

42 43

44

If all proposed changes are adopted, items that appear in multiple locations within the chapter—for example, citations or indictments, which appear both here in current *BCO* 32-3 and 32-5, as well as 31-3—would be collocated in the same section, or at least in adjacent sections. The resulting structure is in a natural flow regarding judicial process and provides a helpful outline within the *BCO* itself for presbyters in conducting court cases (in addition to the helpful procedural checklists already provided elsewhere): Sections 1–10 explain the individual elements of judicial process (citations, indictments, representation, recordkeeping,

contumacy, etc.), while Sections 11–15 delineate the formal meetings of the court from the first to the last culminating with the trial and decision itself.

3 4

Chapter 35

5

7

8

9

11

12

14

17

18

- 35-1 Witness competency
 - 35-2 Rights of the accused
- 35-3 Accommodations for witness testimony
- 35-4 Proving a charge
- 35-5 Judging credibility of evidence
 - 35-6 Sequestration of witnesses
 - 35-7 Examination of witnesses at trial
- 13 35-8 Witness oath
 - 35-9 Recording of testimony and the Record of the Case
- 35-10 Admissibility of evidence from other courts
- 35-11 Admissibility of other evidence
 - 35-12 Testimony taken at a distance
 - 35-13 Right to request a new trial
 - 35-14 Evidence offered during appeal

19 20 21

22

23

24

The bulk of the reorganization of BCO 35 occurred during the work of the Overture Committee to the 49th General Assembly, ratified at the 50th, wherein we significantly reworked the first five sections along the same lines as what has been proposed for BCO 31 and 32. The substantive changes in BCO 35 do no materially alter the topic of each section.

2526

Itemized Rationale. The following brief description of each proposed change and the rationale for it is offered.

272829

Proposed BCO 31-1: Solemn Warning When Beginning Judicial Procedure. Moved from BCO 32-1 to the first chapter where most cases originate.

303132

33

34

35

36

37

Rationale: Commenting on what today is our *BCO* 32-1 (in Ramsay it is §172), Ramsay offers this wisdom: "The trial proper begins with the charge of the Moderator to the court (183), while the process begins with the determination of the court that there shall be a judicial prosecution, and judicial procedure begins with the determination of the court to investigate; but this principle, while especially imperative during the trial proper, applies throughout the whole judicial procedure, as indeed in all dealing with offenders."

38 39 40

41

42

The warning to brothers about engaging in the restorative process of ecclesiastical discipline is certainly apropos both at the start of a trial, but even as much at the start of any judicial process; hence, it is proposed to be moved here. As such, the word "trial" is replaced by "church discipline."

Proposed BCO 31-2: Notification of Reports Against Christian Character. Requires Officers of the PCA to immediately forward any report received to the Stated Clerk of the court of original jurisdiction, who shall notify the accused within seven days. Also requires that coordinating agencies/entities (e.g., RUF, MTW, Presbytery, etc.) be notified when an allegation regarding the Christian character of an officer is submitted to the court of original jurisdiction.

Rationale: Reports often come at inopportune times. As such, notification of the report to the accused and the broader court of original jurisdiction can be significantly delayed, perhaps by months. This is unfair to all involved—the accusers, the accused, and the court. Timeliness is of significant importance in all judicial matters. In addition, as was proposed at the 50th General Assembly, there needs to be some direction regarding reporting of allegations to agencies which are associated but do not have original jurisdiction, which is also answered in this proposal.

No language is here offered as to whether the initial report is to be shared with anyone, including the accused or any other agency/entity; that is to be determined by the body through its investigative and deliberative processes.

Proposed BCO 31-3. Moves the consideration of accusers earlier in the chapter, from 31-8 to 31-3, as the consideration often begins upon receiving the initial report against a member.

Proposed BCO 31-4 The Imperative Duties of an Investigation and Timeliness of Such. Clarifies that the duties of church courts to investigate all reports regarding the Christian character (alleged morality-related offenses) equally and sets a standard for timeliness to begin such an investigation.

Rationale: All reports against the Christian character of any individual under the care of our Church are equally important in the sight of God (1 Timothy 5:21). The justice of the Lord Jesus Christ and our responsibilities as His under-shepherds demand that regardless of who is aggrieved by the accusations, each court take its responsibility equally seriously. Likewise, the court should avoid the appearance of favoritism, and this phrase has been interpreted by some as "circling the wagons" to protect an accused person—who undoubtedly will argue he is aggrieved by any reports against him. Finally, the current language creates a potential subjective standard to be met by investigating bodies which may be used by an accused person as grounds for complaint and/or dismissal of a strong presumption of guilt.

These small changes remove the appearance of any favoritism in the process from a rules perspective and yet preserve the right of someone to ask themselves for an investigation because they are aggrieved by injurious reports against them.

Regarding timeliness, Overture 25 to the 50th General Assembly attempted a similar change. The changes here propose that an investigation should *ordinarily* begin within 30 days of receipt of the report by the Clerk. This is a reasonable time frame; and

"begin" simply means the court "taking up" or "considering" whether or not to pursue an investigation, along the lines of the requirement in *BCO* 43-2 regarding "consideration" of complaints, as it has discretion in this matter.

Proposed BCO 31-5: Timely and Impartial Investigations. Investigations shall begin in a timely manner and shall be performed by individuals who meet a basic standard for impartiality, and allows for third-parties to be hired to aid in investigation. Also clarifies that the court should ordinarily involve female advisors when considering allegations of a moral nature brought by female parishioners.

Rationale: *BCO* 11-3 teaches us "All Church courts are one in nature, constituted of the same elements, possessed inherently of the same kinds of rights and powers, and differing only as the Constitution may provide." Our high court has long had a well-defined standard for conduct of Commission members (*OMSJC* §2). The changes proposed here codifies a basic standard of impartiality in part from what is used by our high court. Since all courts are one in the same in nature and SJC members are chosen from said lower courts themselves, it is perfectly reasonable to assume that a basic standard for impartiality may reasonably be likewise expected of the lower courts.

Two other items here proposed including the allowance for outside third parties as well as the ordinary addition of female advisory members on the investigative body when there are accusations of personal sin against a woman—as is the case for the majority of "normal" investigation in the courts of the world—but stops short of being exclusively the only option, again, preserving the discretion of the court of original jurisdiction.

Proposed BCO 31-6: Investigative Committee Findings and Documents. Clarifies that neither the accused nor court members at large have the right to investigative documents (witness testimony, evidence, etc.) and that the Investigative Report shall be distributed to all parties at the same time.

Rationale: Years and years of discussion on the Review of Presbytery Records as to what a "full and accurate record" (*BCO* 13-11) actually means when it comes to judicial process, but more specifically investigations and complaints, for which there is less definition and more flexibility in our current language. These proposed changes codify prior SJC rulings in specific cases, providing guidance to the lower courts who may not be familiar with them. As a matter of practice, nothing in this proposed language limits the ability of a court or its investigative body to engage in further follow-up conversations with individuals involved in an investigation after delivering their report.

Further, the proposed language does not infringe on the right of the accused or hinder him/her in making a sufficient defense. If the investigation results in a strong presumption of guilt, then the evidence collected by the investigative body will be passed along to the prosecutor, and from there the formal process will take over. The SJC explained this succinctly in its decision in Case 2021-06, p.7:

. . . the SJC does not agree with the Complainant that he would necessarily have the right to "the minutes and documents of the *BCO* 31-2 Committee." An investigative committee might interview several people who may or may not have ended up being significant for determining whether there was a strong presumption of guilt in a certain matter. Likewise, a committee might collect a range of documents that are not germane to their investigation. Surely it would be inappropriate to disclose each witness, all testimony, and every document to an accused individual upon his request. Those witnesses, documents, and evidence that are germane to the charges and specifications will be made known in the indictment; at that point, the accused should have access to those materials to prepare a defense (*BCO* 32-4, 5, 8).

Proposed BCO 31-7: Finding of a Strong Presumption of Guilt. This proposal retains the full language of the second paragraph of current BCO 31-2, and simply moves it to its own section, given the expansion of the earlier investigative procedures. This keeps it within the normal flow of the investigative process.

Proposed BCO 31-8 through 31-12. These paragraphs represent a renumbering of current *BCO* 31-3 and 31-5 through 31-9, with no changes to text or order, respectively. Existing *BCO* 31-4 is proposed to be moved to *BCO* 32 in another overture, except for the last sentence, which is incorporated into proposed 31-8, where it fits contextually very well.

 Proposed BCO 31-13: Ordinary Automatic Administrative Leave in Reports Alleging a Serious Personal Offense or Public Scandal. This paragraph clarifies that certain kinds of reports received by courts should ordinarily result in a type of "Administrative Leave" during investigation in cases alleging serious immorality or public scandal, and clarifies what this leave may or may not include, while still giving significant discretion to the court and seeking to protect an accused person's rights as a member of that court.

Rationale: Overture 8 to the 50th General Assembly attempted to get at this outcome. This proposal does several things differently. First, it *ordinarily* places an accused person under suspension for serious morality-related allegations—what qualifies as "serious" is best determined by the court (no change is envisioned here regarding doctrinal reports; these too may still warrant a suspension of a Teaching Elder). The proposed language here specifically gives no examples of what might be serious, because each case is unique: a financial crime may be serious enough, for example. No position is taken with regard to pay, which is a matter to be decided by the various courts which may be involved.

This also further clarifies that during this leave the accused shall be allowed into any proceedings regarding his case, but he may, as part of his "administrative suspension" be disallowed from attendance and voting in other matters before the court. It has been

observed by the SJC that Sessions have some discretion in disallowing certain members to attend given special circumstances (e.g., SJC Case 2011-11); and, if all courts are one in the same in nature (*BCO* 11-3), then such may be applied to other courts as well. To pick up on the same example from earlier, if a Teaching Elder was accused of embezzlement from his church, and he was also on an Admin or Finance committee, or even was Treasurer of the Presbytery, the court should be able to suspend him from those duties.

Proposed BCO 31-14: Disallow the Accused from Voting in His Own Defense. This paragraph represents a renumbering of current BCO 31-11, with no changes to the text.

Proposed BCO 31-15: Adoption of Closed Session for Judicial Matters. Establishes a BCO-wide provision for Closed Session (in which the SJC normally operates) and specifies that judicial process—including investigations—shall take place in Closed Session by default.

Rationale: Closed Session is the normal mode of operation for the Standing Judicial Commission. As such, it is a good model for lower courts. Executive Session—solely a machination of *Robert's Rules of Order (RONR)*—is often misunderstood by courts and court members, and has and does create a lot of confusion. Further, Executive Session itself creates practical issues, too, when, for example, a pastor is charged: can he not tell his Session? Can he not tell his congregation? Etc. Closed Session mitigates many of these issues. Nothing in the proposed language here prevents the entering into an Executive Session if the court deems it necessary. In either Closed or Executive sessions, it remains to the court to determine "who should be invited." This also further specifies that all judicial matters normally operate this way, eliminating significant confusion with regard to *RONR* provisions on this matter.

Proposed BCO 32-1: Initiation of Formal Process. Identifies how formal process begins and codifies previous SJC rulings (following Ramsay) that process begins when the court appoints a prosecutor, whether in the case of charges being filed directly, or upon finding a strong presumption of guilt after an investigation.

Rationale: There is some confusion among presbyters regarding when process "officially" begins. However, Ramsay is normally appealed to on this point, who explains that it begins when the prosecutor is appointed. This simply codifies that interpretation for clarity and directs that the court should ordinarily appoint a prosecutor without delay, as delays in such appointment can cause additional judicial problems.

Proposed BCO 32-2: Indictments. Explains what an indictment is and its form and collocates all indictment references together from *BCO* 31 and 32.

Rationale: The first sentence is new, and simply explains the element itself. The remainder of this section is pulled verbatim from current *BCO* 31-4 and 32-5.

1	Proposed BCO 32-3: Citations. Explains what a citation is and its form.
2	
3	Rationale: Most of the changes to 32-3 consist in relocating the remainder of current
4	BCO 32-3 to later in the chapter, to coincide with the new flow of the chapter. The
5	first sentence also incorporates the two elements from current BCO 32-4 on who shall
6	sign the citation and the fact that citations shall also be issued to trial witnesses.
7	
8	Proposed BCO 32-4: Delivery of Citations and Indictments. Explains the method of serving
9	both indictments and citations and explains in what circumstances exclusively digital delivery
0	is acceptable.
1	
2	Rationale : The struck content was relocated into proposed <i>BCO</i> 32-3. The only new
3	item here is clarification that exclusively electronic delivery is acceptable if the
4	recipient acknowledges receipt. This may be worked out ahead of time by the parties
5	and court, and follows the general practice where electronic items are counted as
6	received on the day the clerk finds them in his email as long as a paper copy is likewise
7	mailed—this simply makes the latter step of sending a paper copy unnecessary in most
8	circumstances.
9	
0.0	Proposed BCO 32-5: Refusal to Obey Citations. Explains what the court is to do when a
21	citation is ignored.
2	
23	Rationale : This section represents a split of current <i>BCO</i> 32-6 into two parts, this
4	being the first, and the remainder appearing later in proposed BCO 32-10. The only
25	substantive change here is the replacement of the word "accused" with "any person"
6	which helpfully clarifies that contumacy may apply to anyone refusing to appear
7	before a court with respect to judicial process, having been properly cited to do so.
8	
9	Proposed BCO 32-6: Progress of a Case. This is simply a renumbering of current BCO 32-
0	14.
31	
2	Proposed BCO 32-7: Prohibition Against Professional Counsel. Defines professional
3	representation during process, and specifies the requirements of such a representative for both
4	parties.
5	Patienale: This same in its original form from two prospectories to the 50th Conoral
6	Rationale: This came in its original form from two presbyteries to the 50 th General
7	Assembly but its genesis was the recent SJC trial. There were several deficiencies in the first iteration. Simply put, this current proposal codifies existing CCB
8	interpretation and application of the current language of <i>BCO</i> 32-19. The full language
0	of the CCB's advice is quoted below from M27GA, p. 148:
1 2	V. ADVICE TO STATED CLERK
.3	SC Advice 1
.5	Ouestion
т	\/ LEM/161/11

1 "Does BCO 32-19 forbid parties in cases on appeal or complaints taken to a higher court to secure the professional services of attorneys (either members 2 of the PCA or not members of the PCA) to prepare their appeal or complaint, 3 prepare briefs, and handle correspondence and communications with an 4 ecclesiastical court or its clerk." 5 Response 6 7 Yes BCO 32-19 forbids professional counsel from formal involvement (that is, acting in an attorney/client relationship) in cases of process in the courts of the 8 church. Parties in such cases may, of course, seek help anywhere they can find 9 it, but the parties should not be "represented" by professional counsel "as such" 10 in any case, including correspondence about the case. 11 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 /s/ Robert C Cannada, Jr., Chairman 14 /s/ Frank D. Moser, Secretary 15 Received as information 16 17 The deficiencies of the previous version (the prohibitions were considered by many to 18 be too broad) have been removed, and the additions (counsel may continue throughout 19 the duration of the case; representation at the Session level may be obtained through 20 presbytery) were initially welcome from the previous iteration. This proposal strictly 21 limits itself to codifying the longstanding (now 24 years) advice given to the Assembly 22 on such matters. 23 24 Additionally, clarification is provided for assistants in proposed 32-7a, and the only 25 26 provisions applying to them likewise apply to trial representatives: that they are members in good standing and they shall not be allowed to likewise vote in the judicial 27 matters in which they are assisting. 28 29 The last sentence of current BCO 32-3 is incorporate herein since it applies to 30 representation, following the rationale of collocating items together topically 31 32 throughout this chapter. 33 34 **Proposed BCO 32-8: Judicial Committees.** This is a renumbering of current BCO 32-11 with an update to the reference therein to the new appropriate provision of BCO 32. 35 36 **Proposed BCO 32-9: Minutes and Records.** This is a renumbering of current BCO 32-18 37 with some added structure. 38 39 40 Rationale: The current 32-18 is composed of five separate paragraphs, and the new format will make individual items more easily referenceable in judicial decisions. 41 42 **Proposed BCO 32-10: Contumacy.** Explains what contumacy is and who may be censured in 43 that case. 44 45

Rationale: The first sentence attempts to explain at the most basic level what contumacy is, and then establishes some examples—without limiting contumacy to just those examples—via adaptation from the language currently in *BCO* 32-6 (refusing to appear, appearing and refusing to plead). The current language contains a provision "or otherwise refuse to cooperate with lawful proceedings" which is nebulous at best, and serves as a kind of catchall for any kind of contumacy within formal process. With this new sentence explaining what contumacy is, that "catchall" becomes unnecessary and thus is dropped.

The remainder of the added language is taken from current *BCO* 35-14, replacing "refusing to testify" with "found by the court to be noncompliant."

Proposed BCO 32-11: Meetings of the Court. Delineates the basic meetings of the court and what happens at each of those meetings.

Rationale: The first sentence is new and explanatory to describe the meetings of the court. The remainder of proposed *BCO* 32-11 including subparagraphs A and B is taken almost verbatim from current *BCO* 32-3. The only substantive change here is the replacing of "if he plead and take issue" with "if the accused does not confess" since neither the words "plea" nor "plead" are present anywhere else in the text.

This section is concluded with the exact language of current *BCO* 32-20, which explains how the accused might object to the consideration of a charge, which typically happens very early in the court's process (though it may continue throughout if the question is undecided), here collocated with the early meetings of the court for clarity.

 Proposed BCO 32-12: Pretrial Matters. Explains that courts may provide additional trial rules not contained within the *BCO* (which gives minimal guidance) and requires that any such rules must be agreed to by both parties along the same timeline for the commencement of trial.

Rationale: This stipulation follows the same timeline for trials, so it introduces no essential delays in the process. It does codify the practice of some PCA courts in stipulating additional parameters for any specific proceeding—a flexibility that is allowed by the *BCO* in providing courts of original jurisdiction latitude to use their discretion in these matters. For example, a court may provide a rule that "heresay" will not ordinarily be allowed. There is no specific prohibition in the *BCO* against it, though it is generally frowned upon, and not considered nearly as weighty as original first-person evidence and testimony.

 The result of the court implementing such parameters at the beginning will 1) serve to head off future appeals, the parties having agreed beforehand, and 2) set expectations for both parties as to what the court will be allowing or disallowing, whatever the case may be. The PCA does not have an extensive rulebook in this regard, so codifying the ability for courts and standing judicial bodies to do this is very helpful.

Proposed BCO 32-13: *Trial Impartiality and Requirements of Judges*. Preserves the existing language, further establishes the requirements for impartiality in any trial, and consolidates current *BCO* 32-17 and 35-13 into this section.

Rationale: The only statements around impartiality at trial currently pertain to the examination of witnesses, the language of which is herein retained.

BCO 11-3 teaches us "All Church courts are one in nature, constituted of the same elements, possessed inherently of the same kinds of rights and powers, and differing only as the Constitution may provide." Our high court has long had a well-defined standard for conduct of Commission members (OMSJC §2). The changes proposed here codifies a basic standard for competency, independence, and impartiality for judges in judicial cases, based in large part on what is used by our high court. Since all courts are one and the same in nature and SJC members are chosen from said lower courts themselves, it is perfectly reasonable to expect that these standards should be expected of judges in the lower courts as well.

Accordingly, language is herein adapted from *OMSJC* §2 in four paragraphs beginning with the end of the current language in *BCO* 32-13. These paragraphs attempt to offer the same standard of conduct for lower court judges as there is for those in higher courts. These provisions also coincide with the proposals for impartiality with regard to Investigations (proposed *BCO* 31-4 through 31-7).

The sixth paragraph represents an incorporation of current *BCO* 32-17, commonly referred to as the prohibition against "circularizing the court"—though this phraseology is often confusing to presbyters. This paragraph concludes with an adaptation from *OMSJC* §2 on when a member shall recuse himself.

This section concludes with the incorporation of current *BCO* 35-13 which also pertains to the disqualification of judges, and thus makes the most sense to be placed here in the revised structure of these chapters. No substantive changes are made.

Proposed BCO 32-14: Offenses Taking Place at a Distance. This language represents a renumbering of current *BCO* 32-8 and 32-9, consolidating them into one section, both of which pertain to offenses occurring outside the court of original jurisdiction, and offering a more pleasant formatting of the content therein.

Proposed BCO 32-15: The Trial. Delineates the steps to be taken at the trial proper, which remain unaltered from this same section currently.

Rationale: Step 1 incorporates the current language of *BCO* 32-12 nearly exactly since it pertains to that same step. The only other alteration is a single additional phrase is added to Step 2 noting that the reading of the indictment may be waived by the court, having already been read to the accused at the second meeting of the court.

witnesses" as a pattern of offense.

3 4

5 6 7

8 9 10

11

12 13 14

16 17

18

15

19 20 21

22

23 24 25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32 33

34

35 36 37

39 40

38

41

42 43 44

45

preceding proposals, and thus are stricken hereafter. Proposed BCO 35-4: Affirm that a Pattern of Offenses Satisfies Evidentiary Criteria.

Clarifies, in accordance with historical Presbyterian interpretation, that multiple individual

witnesses to a single general charge may be used to satisfy the requirements of "two or three

The remainder of the current BCO 32 (§16–20) have already been incorporated in the

Rationale: It can be an issue as to whether each separate *act* requires two witnesses to be considered proven, even under the current provision which allows for one of those witnesses to be a piece of corroborating evidence. The additional language here clarifies that singular acts under the same general charge function to satisfy the charge, and the language is taken directly from the 1821 PCUSA Book of Discipline, VI.VI.

Proposed BCO 35-5: Clarification Regarding Evidentiary Discovery. Clarifies that the court shall direct both parties to exchange all evidentiary materials and witnesses at least fourteen (14) days ahead of the scheduled commencement of the trial.

Rationale: This is a practice that has been in use by some courts in the PCA, and it appears to be a good practice which should be codified for all. Nothing in this proposal would preclude rebuttal evidence of any kind, which should be disclosed as soon as possible under this same principle. The court here has discretion to order discovery exchange earlier, but it must do so at least two weeks beforehand, which corresponds to the 14-day provision of existing BCO 32-3 (proposed BCO 32-11) for the scheduling of such trial after the second meeting of the court.

Proposed BCO 35-7: Prohibiting Blanket Character Attacks in the Name of Credibility. Specifies that while a party shall not be hindered from making his or her case, character attacks unrelated to the indictment or specific testimony by either party shall not be permitted by the court and directs the court how to proceed if it occurs.

Rationale: A party should be allowed to present its case—that is not in question. But what should not be allowed by any church court is attacks on the character of anyone testifying that are unrelated to their testimony or the issue at charge.

T. David Gordon's entire article on this point is convincing, but here we shall only quote it in part (*M27GA*, p. 125):

The Issue is the Law, not the Parties

I suppose it should be evident to the reader that judges are responsible to rule and decide in terms of the law of the Church, not in terms of their perception of who are the "good guys" and/or the "bad guys." Sadly, experience teaches that again, shepherds are fairly astute at identifying the deceitfulness of the human heart, but they are less astute at judging matters of law. Regrettably,

those who sit in judgment often expect or even encourage arguments related to the moral character of the parties in question. Such comments are almost never proper or germane (and a judicious moderator of a trial will rule them out of order, and not permit them).

If the issue involved is an individual's moral character, then, of course, some comments about moral character are germane. But even here, they are only germane in a relatively narrow arena. If an elder is on trial for adultery, it is irrelevant to ask whether he pays his taxes, gives money to the Church, etc. He is not on trial for these other matters; he is on trial for adultery. Similarly, if an individual complains against an action of one of the courts, the moral character of the complainant or of the court in question is irrelevant. The only relevant question is whether the court erred in the specific way that it has been alleged to have erred. Neither party should be obliged to prove its moral standing in any complaint. Since no one is permitted to complain who is not a member in good standing of the Church (BCO 43-1), it must be assumed that the person filing the complaint is already deemed by the court to be in good standing. And, since the court itself is constituted by those who have been deemed wise and exemplary, its moral character similarly is not at issue. If good people break some specific law of the Church, the Church's courts must render a judgment of guilt; if bad people keep some specific law of the Church, the Church's courts must render a judgment of innocence.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

This is what the Bible teaches when God is spoken of as no "respecter of persons" (a wonderful translation of the more-contemporary "is not partial"). The point in these passages is that God's justice cannot be perverted by personal considerations. God is, in this sense, not a juror, but a judge. The issue for him is always whether his own inflexible, faultless standard has been violated or not; the issue is never whether other personal considerations can cause the demands of justice to be perverted. "For the LORD your God is God of gods and Lord of lords, the great God, mighty and awesome, who is not partial and takes no bribe, who executes justice for the orphan and the widow, and who loves the strangers, providing them food and clothing" (Deut.10:17-18). Thus, when we exercise justice impartially, we are imitating God. "You shall not render an unjust judgment; you shall not be partial to the poor or defer to the great: with justice you shall judge your neighbor" (Lev. 19:15). "You must not be partial in judging: hear out the small and the great alike; you shall not be intimidated by anyone, for the judgment is God's." (Deut. 1:17). Biblically, justice is administered only when there is an entire disregard for the persons involved; whether they be small or great, rich or poor, strangers or friends.

40 41 42

43

44

45

Ironically, then, what is often the *shepherd's* greatest strength (an ability to "size people up" in terms of their moral or spiritual condition) is the *judge's* greatest weakness. To be genuinely useful as an elder, one must wear two hats, and develop two sets of skills. To be a good shepherd of souls, one must

develop good instincts, and one must cultivate empathy and sympathy; in short, one must be a "people person." To be an administrator of justice, one must develop a capacity to put personal considerations aside, for the purposes of administering law impartially. Although this challenge may appear beyond the capacity of mere mortals, we must remember that though we are indeed mere creatures, we are creatures made in the image of our God, Who is Himself both a compassionate Shepherd and an impartial Judge.

Further, this proposal allows the court itself to enforce this provision, and does not rely solely on the ability of one party to recognize it in the heat of the moment. And it thus directs the court what to do with the Record of the Case when such an instance occurs.

Proposed BCO 35-10 and 35-11: Clarification Regarding Evidentiary Admissibility. Clarifies which evidence shall be automatically admitted by the court.

Rationale: In the case of a complaint, typically both parties would stipulate evidence for automatic admittance to the Record of the Case, and the ROC is thus created by agreement. In cases of process, however, this process simply does not work: why would an accused person ever stipulate to a single piece of evidence? The burden lies squarely on the prosecution; the accused is innocent until proven guilty, and as such any man so accused is likely not to stipulate to any evidence.

According to the justice of the Lord Jesus, from whose sight nothing is hidden, evidence that is relevant on its face should be admitted automatically by the court when its authenticity is not in dispute.

 This also provides a path for the admission of material such as police reports, medical records, etc. which today may require testimony to admit into evidence. This can be an issue, as has been brought up multiple times in the last few years, because the author of such a report, for example, may not be determined to be competent to testify according to our rules in *BCO* 35-1. Were these provisions adopted, the court would automatically admit such records so long as their authenticity and relevance was not in dispute, which in the case of things such as police reports, medical records, etc., is relatively easy for the court to determine.

The proposed provisions here further codify that such evidence received as testimony during investigation—investigations themselves are official proceedings of the court—shall be automatically admissible where the authenticity of such is not in question.

These changes cumulatively will have the effect of working to ensure that all relevant evidence is considered by the court in its decision, while helping to protect witnesses from (perhaps multiple) unnecessary retellings of their stories diminishing the right of cross-examination by the opposing party.

- 1 Proposed BCO 35-13 and 35-14: Deletions. The current language in BCO 35-13 and 35-14
- 2 is moved to other proposed sections in BCO 31 and BCO 32. The remaining section, BCO 35-
- 3 16 is thus renumbered to 35-14.

- 5 Adopted by the Session of Fountain Square Presbyterian Church on February 1, 2024.
- 6 Attested by /s/ RE Dan Barber, Clerk of Session, Fountain Square Presbyterian Church.
- 7 Submitted to Central Indiana Presbytery at its stated meeting on February 9, 2024. Rejected
- 8 by Central Indiana Presbytery at its stated meeting on February 9, 2024.
- 9 [The relevant extract of the Presbytery minutes has been provided to the Stated Clerk of the
- 10 PCA according to RAO 11-10.]
- 11 Attested by /s/ TE Taylor Bradbury, Stated Clerk, Central Indiana Presbytery